STONEHOUSE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Chairman: George Smith, 6 Naismith Court, Stonehouse. Tel 01698 793946 Secretary: Robert Freel, 75 Lockhart Street, Stonehouse 01698 793498

05-02-2013 NOTES OF MEETING BETWEEN STONEHOUSE COMMUNITY COUNCIL AND

STONEHOUSE DEVELOPMENT TRUST REGARDS 4-5 THE CROSS.

meeting between SCC and the SDT, prior to last week's monthly Community Council Public Meeting, with agreement to meet again, following the CC meeting. At this meeting the CC agreed to investigate the possibility of a partnership agreement with SDT with regards to the take-over of 4-5 The Cross in the short term to allow a plan to be developed. An email had been received from Cllr Campbell which outlined various options that he had explored and detailed areas that would be required to be looked at by both parties (SCC & the SDT). Cllr Campbell also advised that he would like to work alone on this, however the SCC and the SDT do not want to exclude the other Cllrs and if agreement could be secured from the other elected Cllr's that GC would take the project forward then this might suffice. A future meeting between SCC and SDT and Cllr Campbell to discuss this would be required. Discussions between all parties on the potential lease, duration of the lease, immediate and longer term funding took place. The SDT confirmed that they have no funding at present. SCC have made an approach to a Renewables developer to seek one off donation to assist with project with a view of further donations also becoming available. GS outlined possible future funding stream from the REF but noted that this was not guaranteed. The SDT would have to explore and feed back to funders and SLC Estates on the existing I nstitute project as well as look at any conditions on any potential lease. Exploratory discussions were held on the use of the premises by the Senior Citizens and any payment that could be made by them towards running costs.	MEMBERS PRESENT & APOLOG	GIES			
* George Smith. * Robert Craig. * Robert Freel. * Molly Casey. * Gavin Haddow. * Jackie Hewart. * Leigh Payne. * John Young. George Smith provided an brief overview of the current situation starting with the meeting between SCC and the SDT, prior to last week's monthly Community Council Public Meeting, with agreement to meet again, following the CC meeting. At this meeting the CC agreed to investigate the possibility of a partnership agreement with SDT with regards to the take-over of 4-5 The Cross in the short term to allow a plan to be developed. An email had been received from ClIr Campbell which outlined various options that he had explored and detailed areas that would be required to be looked at by both parties (SCC & the SDT). ClIr Campbell also advised that he would like to work alone on this, however the SCC and the SDT do not want to exclude the other ClIrs and if agreement could be secured from the other elected ClIr's that GC would take the project forward then this might suffice. A future meeting between SCC and SDT and ClIr Campbell to discuss this would be required. Discussions between all parties on the potential lease, duration of the lease, immediate and longer term funding took place. The SDT confirmed that they have no funding at present. SCC have made an approach to a Renewables developer to seek one off donation to assist with project with a view of further donations also becoming available. GS outlined possible future funding stream from the REF but noted that this was not guaranteed. The SDT would have to explore and feed back to funders and SLC Estates on the existing Institute project as well as look at any conditions on any potential lease. Exploratory discussions were held on the use of the premises by the Senior Citizens and any payment that could be made by them towards running costs.	In Attendance	In Attendance			
* Molly Casey. * Gavin Haddow. * Jackie Hewart. * John Young. George Smith provided an brief overview of the current situation starting with the meeting between SCC and the SDT, prior to last week's monthly Community Council Public Meeting, with agreement to meet again, following the CC meeting. At this meeting the CC agreed to investigate the possibility of a partnership agreement with SDT with regards to the take-over of 4-5 The Cross in the short term to allow a plan to be developed. An email had been received from Cllr Campbell which outlined various options that he had explored and detailed areas that would be required to be looked at by both parties (SCC & the SDT). Cllr Campbell also advised that he would like to work alone on this, however the SCC and the SDT do not want to exclude the other Cllrs and if agreement could be secured from the other elected Cllr's that GC would take the project forward then this might suffice. A future meeting between SCC and SDT and Cllr Campbell to discuss this would be required. Discussions between all parties on the potential lease, duration of the lease, immediate and longer term funding took place. The SDT confirmed that they have no funding at present. SCC have made an approach to a Renewables developer to seek one off donation to assist with project with a view of further donations also becoming available. GS outlined possible future funding stream from the REF but noted that this was not guaranteed. The SDT would have to explore and feed back to funders and SLC Estates on the existing Institute project as well as look at any conditions on any potential lease. Exploratory discussions were held on the use of the premises by the Senior Citizens and any payment that could be made by them towards running costs.	SCC	SDT			
# Sharon Montgomery. George Smith provided an brief overview of the current situation starting with the meeting between SCC and the SDT, prior to last week's monthly Community Council Public Meeting, with agreement to meet again, following the CC meeting. At this meeting the CC agreed to investigate the possibility of a partnership agreement with SDT with regards to the take-over of 4-5 The Cross in the short term to allow a plan to be developed. An email had been received from ClIr Campbell which outlined various options that he had explored and detailed areas that would be required to be looked at by both parties (SCC & the SDT). ClIr Campbell also advised that he would like to work alone on this, however the SCC and the SDT do not want to exclude the other ClIrs and if agreement could be secured from the other elected ClIr's that GC would take the project forward then this might suffice. A future meeting between SCC and SDT and ClIr Campbell to discuss this would be required. Discussions between all parties on the potential lease, duration of the lease, immediate and longer term funding took place. The SDT confirmed that they have no funding at present. SCC have made an approach to a Renewables developer to seek one off donation to assist with project with a view of further donations also becoming available. GS outlined possible future funding stream from the REF but noted that this was not guaranteed. The SDT would have to explore and feed back to funders and SLC Estates on the existing Institute project as well as look at any conditions on any potential lease. Exploratory discussions were held on the use of the premises by the Senior Citizens and any payment that could be made by them towards running costs.	* George Smith. * Robert Craig. * Robert Freel.	* Liz Ditchburn			
George Smith provided an brief overview of the current situation starting with the meeting between SCC and the SDT, prior to last week's monthly Community Council Public Meeting, with agreement to meet again, following the CC meeting. At this meeting the CC agreed to investigate the possibility of a partnership agreement with SDT with regards to the take-over of 4-5 The Cross in the short term to allow a plan to be developed. An email had been received from CIIr Campbell which outlined various options that he had explored and detailed areas that would be required to be looked at by both parties (SCC & the SDT). CIIr Campbell also advised that he would like to work alone on this, however the SCC and the SDT do not want to exclude the other CIIrs and if agreement could be secured from the other elected CIIr's that GC would take the project forward then this might suffice. A future meeting between SCC and SDT and CIIr Campbell to discuss this would be required. Discussions between all parties on the potential lease, duration of the lease, immediate and longer term funding took place. The SDT confirmed that they have no funding at present. SCC have made an approach to a Renewables developer to seek one off donation to assist with project with a view of further donations also becoming available. GS outlined possible future funding stream from the REF but noted that this was not guaranteed. The SDT would have to explore and feed back to funders and SLC Estates on the existing I nstitute project as well as look at any conditions on any potential lease. Exploratory discussions were held on the use of the premises by the Senior Citizens and any payment that could be made by them towards running costs.	* Molly Casey.	* Leigh Payne.			
meeting between SCC and the SDT, prior to last week's monthly Community Council Public Meeting, with agreement to meet again, following the CC meeting. At this meeting the CC agreed to investigate the possibility of a partnership agreement with SDT with regards to the take-over of 4-5 The Cross in the short term to allow a plan to be developed. An email had been received from ClIr Campbell which outlined various options that he had explored and detailed areas that would be required to be looked at by both parties (SCC & the SDT). ClIr Campbell also advised that he would like to work alone on this, however the SCC and the SDT do not want to exclude the other ClIrs and if agreement could be secured from the other elected ClIr's that GC would take the project forward then this might suffice. A future meeting between SCC and SDT and ClIr Campbell to discuss this would be required. Discussions between all parties on the potential lease, duration of the lease, immediate and longer term funding took place. The SDT confirmed that they have no funding at present. SCC have made an approach to a Renewables developer to seek one off donation to assist with project with a view of further donations also becoming available. GS outlined possible future funding stream from the REF but noted that this was not guaranteed. The SDT would have to explore and feed back to funders and SLC Estates on the existing I nstitute project as well as look at any conditions on any potential lease. Exploratory discussions were held on the use of the premises by the Senior Citizens and any payment that could be made by them towards running costs.	* Sharon Montgomery.	* John Young.			
Discussions between all parties on the potential lease, duration of the lease, immediate and longer term funding took place. The SDT confirmed that they have no funding at present. SCC have made an approach to a Renewables developer to seek one off donation to assist with project with a view of further donations also becoming available. GS outlined possible future funding stream from the REF but noted that this was not guaranteed. The SDT would have to explore and feed back to funders and SLC Estates on the existing I nstitute project as well as look at any conditions on any potential lease. Exploratory discussions were held on the use of the premises by the Senior Citizens and any payment that could be made by them towards running costs.	meeting between SCC and the SDT, prior to last week's month Public Meeting, with agreement to meet again, following the CC At this meeting the CC agreed to investigate the possibility of agreement with SDT with regards to the take-over of 4-5 The term to allow a plan to be developed. An email had been received from Cllr Campbell which outlined he had explored and detailed areas that would be required to parties (SCC & the SDT). Cllr Campbell also advised that he we on this, however the SCC and the SDT do not want to exclude agreement could be secured from the other elected Cllr's that project forward then this might suffice.	Ily Community Council C meeting. F a partnership E Cross in the short various options that be looked at by both build like to work alone the other Clirs and if t GC would take the	Actions		
asked if they would contribute the running of the hall, as funding of the halls many costs would have to be met from various streams if this was to be a success. The SDT were concerned that a precedent could be set that could hamper future use of the premises by other groups if the Senior Citizens were given free access to the hall, which is understandable. The management and running of the premises was another area that would require agreement between both parties and discussions briefly covered the formation of a Community Management Committee to undertake this remit.	Discussions between all parties on the potential lease, duration immediate and longer term funding took place. The SDT confirmed that they have no funding at present. SCC have made an approach to a Renewables developer to seek assist with project with a view of further donations also becomes GS outlined possible future funding stream from the REF but not guaranteed. The SDT would have to explore and feed back to funders and existing Institute project as well as look at any conditions on a Exploratory discussions were held on the use of the premises Citizens and any payment that could be made by them towards SCC were of the view that it should be free however the Seni asked if they would contribute the running of the hall, as functions would have to be met from various streams if this was to the SDT were concerned that a precedent could be set that of use of the premises by other groups if the Senior Citizens we to the hall, which is understandable. The management and running of the premises was another are agreement between both parties and discussions briefly cover	sone off donation to ming available. noted that this was SLC Estates on the any potential lease. by the Senior s running costs. or Citizens could be ling of the halls many to be a success. could hamper future re given free access a that would require			

STONEHOUSE COMMUNITY COUNCIL

Chairman: George Smith, 6 Naismith Court, Stonehouse. Tel 01698 793946 Secretary: Robert Freel, 75 Lockhart Street, Stonehouse 01698 793498

scheduled for this Saturday (1st Feb' at St.Ninians Church).	
It was further agreed that another meeting be set for next week following the	
SDT AGM and that this meeting would be between both groups, taking place around	
7pm and that CIIr Campbell be invited to attend around 8pm on Tuesday 4 th Feb' at	
Lifestyles.	
George Smith thanked all for attending and the meeting finished at approx'	
8:30pm.	