DRAFT Bagworth Thornton and Stanton 5 – Land locked site adjacent to St Peters Close, Thornton (Previous SHELAA Ref AS33)

1. Overview

A Sustainable Site Assessment (SSA) is a comparison of development supply options to be used for Neighbourhood Plan (NP) making purposes. The level of detail provided is appropriate for this purpose and is proportionate to the requirement of meeting the Basic Conditions. A SSA is not a substitute for detailed professional assessments of site viability and other legal or regulatory matters that will require approval as a part of testing a residential planning application. An SSA is a community led process and does not contain detailed professional site investigations and this SSA report should be read and understood in this context.

Through undertaking an SSA process the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Committee is identifying the least environmentally damaging and therefore the most sustainable locations for potential development. The approach uses publicly available data including from the local authority Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA), Natural England, the Environment Agency, Rowmaps and Googlemaps etc. A site visit has been undertaken to determine the locational context but the site itself has not been accessed in detail during the preparation of this report.

Locally important factors have been considered and it is recommended that the wider community comment on the draft result of the SSA process to help support a final ranking of the potential sites. Whilst a SSA is only a part of any potential development site selection, it is a best practice tool to rank potential sites in a NP and in particular it will help to confirm if a site is developable. The methodology is accepted by developers, land owners, Local Authorities and Planning Inspectors as being robust and proportionate for this task. Each SSA report is fully compliant with the National Planning Policy Frameworks (2012 and 2018) advice and guidance. All distances to key amenities are as the crow flies from the centre of the site for consistency.

Working in partnership with landowners and Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council (HBBC) will enable a positive SSA process that meets the targets and requirements in HBBC's Local Plan.

2. Site Selection Criteria

A scoring system for the residential sites based on a traffic light (Red, Amber or Green - RAG) score is used. Twenty six indicators are evaluated and the sites are numerically scored and ranked. This process assists with providing an overall picture of the viability of the sites in the parish. A high green score may indicate the most sustainable site in the SSA process and provides an indication of how viable a site is. However, it is important to note that there may be other factors which result in that site not being appropriate. Accordingly, this SSA process cannot be solely used in determining the selection of allocated sites.

- Red is scored for a negative assessment where significant mitigation is required;
- Amber is scored where there are negative elements to the site and costly/disruptive mitigation measures will be required;
- Green is scored for a generally positive assessment.

Within the different scoring categories sites will be ranked on their individual score - effectively the total of green scores minus red scores.

Contact Details	
Name(s) of Assessor(s)	Derek Doran BSc (Hons) MCIH MBA – Your Locale

Site - Details	
Site summary :	Previous HBBC SHELAA Ref AS33.
Site name and address:	Land locked site adjacent to St Peters Close, Thornton.

Site – Sustainability criteria relating to Location, Surroundings & Constraints RA		AG Rating	
1. Site area and capacity:	About 1.7HA – Approximately 42 units (3 bed dwellings).	Red	
2. Current Use:	The site is a landlocked grazing field, this is an important existing use and will need to be relocated.	Amber	
3. Adjoining Uses:	Large arable fields are found to two elevations and the site adjoins existing residential units, it fits well in connection to the recognised village envelope.	Amber	
4. Topography:	A steeply sloping site that can be remediated at a considerable expense.	Amber	
5. Ridge and furrow:	Ridge and furrow is not apparent from an aerial image.	Green	
6. Greenfield or Previously Developed Land?	A wholly greenfield site.	Red	
7. Site availability - Single ownership or multiple ownership?	Multiple ownerships.	Amber	
8. Landscape & Visual Impact (LVIA) considerations.	The site has a very open aspect with some long distance panoramic views, the location feels very rural in character and development would cause substantial harm to the quality and amenity of the open countryside.	Red	
9. Important Trees, Woodlands & Hedgerows?	The site is bounded on one side by substantial hedgerow with interspersed trees. Development would probably not require the destruction of trees and/or hedgerow.	Green	

Site – Sustainability criteria relatin	ng to Location, Surroundings & Constraints RAG	Rating	
10. Relationship with existing pattern of built development?	The site is adjacent to the current built form and has a firm relationship to it, the adjoining residential property is overlooking a part of the site.	Amber	
11. Local biodiversity score.	Part of inventory site 325. Large, sloping grazing field with stream at bottom. Pylons across. Footpath diagonally across it. Bounded three sides by broken hedge. Post and Rail on 4th side. Biodiversity score 2 – loss of only general parish-level biodiversity if developed.	Green	
12. Listed Building or important heritage assets and their setting?	None identified, adjacent to a1970's housing development.	Green	
13. Safe pedestrian access to and from the site?	Existing provision is found adjacent to the site and it appears straightforward to provide connectivity from Sharps Close.	Green	
14. Impact on existing vehicular traffic?	A very large scale negative impact on the village centre due to the very large number of units involved.	Red	
15. Safe vehicular access to and from the site?	A hammerhead access has been left to allow vehicular access to this site, an adequate visibility splay and access dimensions appears straightforward to achieve and this will need to be agreed with the Highways Authority, early dialogue is advised.	Green	
16. Safe access to public transport (specifically a bus stop with current service).	The nearest bus stop is approximately a direct 110m from the centre of the site.	Amber	
17. Distance to designated village centre : Thornton – Jct with Merrylees Rd & Reservoir Rd:	Approximately 250m from the geographical centre of the site.	Red	
18. Distance to primary school:	Approximately 210m from the geographical centre of the site.	Red	
19. Current existing informal/formal recreational opportunities on site?	None found.	Green	

Site – Sustainability criteria relati	ite – Sustainability criteria relating to Location, Surroundings & Constraints RAG R	
20. Historical significance or archaeological remains visible or recorded:	The Historic England website does not record any significant finds, the Leicestershire County historical record site requires a payment of £150 to provide the detailed local information.	Green
21. Any existing public rights of ways/bridle paths?	Yes, a public footpath traverse the boundary of the site and this may need to be re-routed to allow access in to the site.	Amber
22. Gas, oil, pipelines and networks & electricity transmission network? (not sewage/water):	A utility cable is found within the site and this can be easily re-routed, in addition an electricity supply pylon is found near to the site, this could sterilise a section of the developable area (EU regulations).	Amber
23. Any nuisance issues (noise, light, odour)?	A persistent and daily noise disturbance from Quarry trains serving the nearby stone quarry, the nuisance will be an ongoing concern. In addition, there is industrial noise from the nearby brickworks.	Red
24. Any contamination issues?	None found on the site.	Green
25. Any known flooding issues?	The whole of the site is located within flood zone 1 and due to its elevation flooding is not considered a concern. In addition HBBC will require this number of units to be provided with a full SUDS solution.	Green
26. Any drainage issues?	No issues identified.	Green
SUMMARY	Red - 7 Amber - 8 Green - 11	A GREEN SCORING SITE OF 4.