Portbury Parish Council MINUTES

Minutes of the meeting held 7th May 2019 Village Hall, High Street, Portbury

Present:			
<u>Councillors:</u>	Cllr Mr Cooke Cllr Mr Romanski Cllr Mrs Cowlard Cllr Mr Coles		
<u>Chairman:</u>	Cllr Phipps		
<u>Clerk:</u>	Minutes taken by Dawn Drower - Clerk		
Representatives:	Mr Alex Hearne (NSC)		
Others:	2 members of general public		

Meeting commenced at 7.30pm

No	Item	Action
PC/05/01	Declaration of Interest by Members – Cllr Mr Phipps declared an interest in the allotment issue by way of personal friendship. Cllr Mr Coles declared an interest in the allotment issue as a plot holder, and Cllr Mr Romanski declared and interest in the allotment issue by way of personal friendship. Cllr Mr Phipps declared an interest in the Village Hall.	
PC/05/02	Apologies received – Les Summerfield	
PC/05/03	Minutes of PPC's monthly meeting held 4th April 2019 were presented. Resolved that: Minutes were agreed by all.	ALL
PC/05/04	Chairman's report Prior to the meeting Cllr Phipps had circulated a report for review to councillors. Cllr Phipps commented on crime and disorder, planning including enforcements, and council administration. All are covered in the relevant sections.	МР

PC/05/05	 Finance (a)Payments for authorisation – As per attached schedule RESOLVED that: The payments as scheduled were approved. Clerk Mrs Drower commented there were no unexpected costs this month. (b) Ongoing funding of the Community Café - Cllr Mr Phipps commented that we have funded the rent for the community café for the last two winters in order to minimise the cost burden on the organisers of the event. It was now appropriate to review the on-going situation as it is anticipated that the Church will not be available as a venue during the major works going on there at present. Councillors were asked to consider continuing the funding support, including possible needs in June, July and September. This can also be put to the ARM in May to secure a mandate for doing this. Cllr Mr Cooke enquired as to whether the organiser has	ALL
	requested assistance in writing and pointed out that there is no budget put aside for donations. Cllr Mr Phipps commented that there was no budget, but we could set aside the amount from contingencies. 3 councillors were in favour 2 abstained Councillor Phipps did not vote (c)Approval of Annual Return – All councillors approved the submission of the Annual Return after the internal audit.	DD
PC/05/06	Crime and Disorder (a)Neighbourhood Watch – Cllr Mr Phipps commented that there had been a major theft in the village on the 16th of April when the leadwork on the flat roof at the Village Hall was stolen. The incident was reported to the Police but a lack of evidence as to who performed the act meant that this was not followed up. The circumstances surrounding the incident will be discussed under reserved business. It is expected that the cost of re-instatement will be covered by insurance. (b)Dog order update – Clerk Mrs Drower commented that there was no update on the dog control order due to difficulties in finding out who the get the information from. Enquiries will continue until we get to the correct person	DD

PC/05/07	Planning	
, ,	(a) Applications received:	
	15/P/0465/FUH – 5 Hillside – Cllr Mr Phipps advised that a	
	comment was registered along the lines agreed at the last	
	meeting where this item was discussed.	
	19/P/0758/FUH – 27 Caswell Lane - change of use of	
	outbuilding/garage to residential. Cllr Mr Phipps	
	commented that this outbuilding/Garage sits within the	
	curtilage of Bracken House, 27 Caswell Lane. When the	
	main house was being re-built it was converted, without	
	permission, into temporary accommodation for the owner	
	of the site. This application sought to make the residential	
	use permanent. The site is large and could accommodate	
	increased intensity of use but this would still constitute	
	development in the green belt. No justification was given	
	to support development in the green belt. No statement of	
	use had been included with the application, as required by	
	NSC Policy. This statement should indicate who will use the	
	building and what relationship the user has to the	
	occupants of the main house. In the absence of	
	information that describes the intended use of the building	
	Councillors were recommended to object to this	MP
	application, pending further information. Councillors were	
	asked to consider this recommendation.	
	Cllr Mr Cooke also commented that the proposed floor	
	plan is different to the existing.	
	All cllrs accepted the recommendation to seek refusal of	
	this application.	
	19/P/0251/FUL – Caswell Cross Cottages – Cllr Mr Phipps	
	commented that an objection has been registered	
	concerning this application as agreed at the last Council	
	meeting.	
	19/P/0701/CQA – Honor Farm – prior approval – Cllr Mr	
	Phipps commented that this application falls within the	
	classification of a General Permitted Development Order,	
	class Q relating to conversion of agricultural buildings. The	MP
	building in question will not be enlarged, the roof structure	
	will remain but will be modified to suit the residential	
	design. The overall design of the proposal appears to	
	acceptable and in sympathy with the rest of the site. There	
	is a nominal qualifying prior erection date of 2013 for this	
	to be an allowable development under this classification	
	and this barn satisfies that criterion. Councillors were	
	advised that there seemed little reason to object to this	
	proposal, albeit that it constitutes development in the	
	Green Belt. Councillors accepted that there was no valid	
	reason to object to this application.	
	18/P/4101/FUL – Newlyn – Appeal unsuccessful – Cllr Mr	
	Phipps commented that the Inspector has determined that	
	the overlooking effect on no51 Mill Lane would still be	

	unacceptable, although again the principle of a development on this site is still upheld. 18/P/2525/FUH – 16 High Street – Appeal unsuccessful – Cllr Mr Phipps commented that the applicant went to appeal against the refusal decision for this application, but that fact did not appear on our weekly/monthly lists of information. It is unlikely that we would have commented on the appeal. The appeal was refused on the grounds that the side extension would disrupt the view from the High Street of the rear garden area. Enforcement – Oakham Treasures – no information regarding the appeal concerning the signage on Portbury Lane. Paddock Lakes – no planning application has been notified to us.	
PC/05/08	Maintenance (a)Lengthsman – Cllr Cowlard commented that the village is looking a bit untidy at present. With regard to the rubbish collected by Kevin and placed in the PPC black bin, Kevin has suggested disposing of it for us, and Cllr Mrs Cowlard has asked him for a cost. It was noted that our current black bin is emptied FOC by NSC and thus represents the best cost solution, even if we have to purchase further lockable bins. Clerk Mrs Drower commented that she is still waiting for a response from Andy Prestt or John Carson reference the locking proposals of the new bins provided by NSC to replace the original bin provided by PPC. Clerk Mrs Drower to check again that the bins will be emptied weekly.	WC DD
PC/05/09	Council Administration/Correspondence (a)Task monitor – Clerk Mrs Drower commented that there is nothing to report. (b) Councillor vacancy – co-option – Mr Keith Lanham was duly co-opted onto council. Cllr Mr Phipps proposed, all cllrs were in favour. (c) Black bin emptying - Clerk Mrs Drower will chase up weekly emptying of black bins. (d) Village Gateways – for reserve funds – Clerk Mrs Drower commented that the only company who seem to provide village gateways – Glasdon – have provided a quote of c£1500 for one gateway, and only two contractors from the approved list were interested in quoting for installation. The two quotes ranged from £1000 to £1500. All cllrs agreed that this was a high cost and would like time to think about it.	DD DD

-		
	Cllr Mrs Cowlard commented that the gateway would be	
	quite close to the edge of road and would encourage	
	drivers to slow down.	
	Cllr Mr Coles commented as he now understood it that this	
	was a necessary step for further speed restriction	
	measures by NSC.	
	Cllr Mr Phipps commented that the village gateway would	
	be part way towards encouraging NSC to impose a full	
	20mph zone for Portbury should it not have the effect of	
	further reducing speeds.	
	Cllr Cooke offered to research the most effective way of	
	erecting a gateway and safety rules governing contractor	PC/MAP
	used for installation.	
	(e) War Memorial update – Cllr Phipps commented that	
	there is no update. Cllr Mr Phipps further commented that	
	we have funds totalling nearly £1000 including private	
	donations and we should therefore spend some of this	
	now on at least immediate repairs to the memorial. We	
	should aim to spend this during the current year. Cllr Mr	
	Phipps further commented that the Docks have previously	
	offered apprentices to help with the repairs and we could	
	provide the materials. Cllr Mr Cooke will take over the War	
	Memorial project and contact the Docks. Cllr Mr Phipps to	
	pass over the files he has received from Mr Longstaff.	
	(f) Bluebell café update– Cllr Phipps commented that on	
	the whole the placing of no parking cones had been	
	generally successful. Cllr Mr Phipps had also informed the	
	police about the potential parking issues especially when	ALL
	the rugby club had events at the same time.	
	(g) Standing Orders approval – Cllr Mr Phipps commented	
	that on comparing these two documents it is evident that	
	they both cover the rules that apply when buying goods or	
	services, but they differ in the value levels at which more	
	formal procurement processes apply. It would be more	
	sensible for the Financial Regulations to contain the rules	
	for price gathering, and for the Standing Orders to cross	
	reference only. Having studied other Parishes' regulations,	
	it seems sensible to base the Financial Regulations on the	
	following principles and authority levels; It is important to	
	ensure that good value for money is always secured when	
	spending from the "public purse". This does not however	
	mean always accepting the lowest price. It is important	
	when purchasing goods to assess the suitability and quality	
	before selecting an item. In contracts for works or services	
	it is important to assure quality and the risk of scope drift	
	because of inadequate description of what is to be	
	provided. Time should be taken therefore to ensure a clear	
	description of what the Council wishes as an outcome from	
	any purchase before going ahead. Specific controls	
	proposed are therefore - Approval of a duly delegated	
	committee or pre-approval of a formal Council Meeting	

·		
	should be secured for items over £500 (as now in standing	
	orders). There can be single action by the Clerk for any	
	emergency item of repair – up to ± 500 . The Chair must sign	
	this off as soon as practicable. (as now in standing orders).	
	The Clerk and Chair can jointly agree to procure any item	
	below £500, so long as this is for an "expected" item of	
	expenditure (as now in standing orders). E-mail can be	
	used to also secure the approval of Councillors outside of	
	Council Meetings. The approval (by Council) must be	
	sought for any grant or a single commitment in excess of £1000. (as now in standing orders) For items up to a value	
	of £5000 formal estimates must be secured for contracts	
	for works or service. The estimate should indicate as	
	accurately as possible the scope of work. For goods supply	
	contracts the specification of the item should accompany	
	the estimate. In this way the Council can ensure that both	
	value and performance are being achieved. (new authority	
	level) For items of value between £5000 and £10000 a	
	formal quotation should be secured detailing full scope of	
	work (service) or detailed specification (goods). The person	
	bringing forward the proposal for purchase must provide a	
	comparison report to Council indicating which supply	
	represents the best value for money and why. (new	
	authority level) For items above £10000 a formal tendering	
	process is required whereby proposals are received by a	
	specified time and are opened in the presence of a	
	minimum of 2 Councillors or 1 Councillor and the Clerk.	
	The tenders can then be recorded and tabulated as	
	received with any qualifications noted in order that a value	
	for money analysis can be carried out. Should items above	
	£25000 be procured the Council is required to engage a	
	suitable professional person or body to carry out the	
	specification and tendering process. It is proposed to write	
	new clauses embodying the above to replace those	
	currently in the Financial Regulations. The Standing Orders	
	should then be modified to cross refer to these, and	
	perhaps to include the detail in an appendix. Councillors are invited to consider the above principles and also to	MP
	review the authority levels suggested here. In	IVIF
	recommending these levels reference has been made to	
	other small Councils' regulations.	
	Cllrs approved and Cllr Mr Phipps will make the	
	appropriate changes.	
	(h)Financial Regulations approval – See Standing Orders	
	comments above.	
	(i)No Cold Calling – Clerk Mrs Drower and Alex Hearne NSC	
	confirmed that Portbury is registered as a No Cold Calling	
	Zone. Clerk Mrs Drower commented that residents were	
	entitled to ask cold callers to leave. The police can be	
	called should unsolicited traders refuse to leave.	

PC/05/10	Sheepway issues – No issues at have been reported.	
PC/05/11	Allotments update – Cllr Mr Phipps enquired as to the current situation on a committee for the allotments as the donation of the shed from PPC was partly for this purpose. Cllr Mr Coles commented that there has been some difficulty setting up a committee, but the aim was still there. Cllr Mr Phipps (declared an interest) commented about the issue raised by a member of the general public of multiple sheds on the allotment in addition to the main shed. Cllr Mr Phipps commented that the lease states that individual small sheds can be erected and suggested that the lease be amended to preclude sheds without permission. Cllr Mr Coles asked for time to consider this.	тс

Meeting finished at 9.55p.m.

Portbury Parish Council Adcroft House, 15 Roath Road, Portishead, BS20 6AW